

Action No.	Status	Action	Date raised	Owner	Due	Comments and Updates
2	Open	Is there any impact on the CUSC or the BSC? SQSS impact	18-May	Workgroup		CUSC- see action 6. BSC- may require a simple code change to match the GC defined ter Update from Jeremy Caplin: Definition to "Small" as a MW value or alternatively a minor in BSC Raise a question for JC in terms of BSC systems (Elexon)
6	Open	Is there a connection condition in line with CUSC 6.5.1 refers to small, medium and large power stations which by inference relates to registered capacity, and linkages to the Grid Code.	18-May	Workgroup	14-Dec	NA to talk to PM and ESO legal team regarding this. Could this be something we need to bring to the CACoP meeting? NA to provide updates at the next WG meeting on 14 December 2021 18/3/22 RR to connect in with ESO legal to gain their views
18	Closed	List of 10MW above sites in GB and which ones are BM participants	09-Nov	TJ/MK		To help identify which participants do not have the required operability requirements More clarity required on the data which was shown from the IS Discovery work about potential BM participants for the future. Also, to understand what (G) means Fed into IS Project Discovery which will be used to drive a CBA (cost benefit analysis). Awaiting for IS Project Discovery to feedback and update but the information will be confidential. If we went to these levels, the savings/benefits/costs would be x. WG completed – await further information on project discovery NGESO IT Closed 18/3
21	Closed	To check the Authority' perspective/context of the role of Open Networks (ON) and ongoing	14-Dec	PD		Paul Drew to provide the information requested at the next workgroup meeting. Closed 18/3

		work and feedback to the Workgroup				
22	Closed	ON Representatives and some delegated Workgroup members to review the scope of P6 and P7 along with the requirements of this modification to identify and cover gaps	14-Dec	TJ, RWi, AC & ON Reps		Ensure the ON team are invited to Feb session and check the time they are available Open Networks to present at the next meeting on P6 and P7 findings Closed 18/3
23	Closed	Survey to be shared to wider DL	Oct	LT/TJ/DNO representatives		MK suggests that the DNO Reps in the Workgroup ask DNOs to send survey to all generators of 10MW and above. DNOs have just refreshed all their contact details of these generators via the ALoMCP. DNOs are receptive to it. Information would be better going out from Open Networks to all the DNOs instead of multiple parties in this Workgroup. Ongoing Closed 18/3
24	Closed	Review Retrospectivity working group table	14 Dec	All		Parameters/set criteria to be set for this. Try to populate from discussion on the 14 <sup>th</sup> Dec. To seek more support from the workgroup for completion. To ensure we are considering the types of data-structural, scheduled and real time data to have a clear view of the impacts. TJ to include further comments following feedback from WG members Closed 18/3
26	Open	SOGL what was in the original proposal	14 Dec	TJ/GG		TJ and GG to discuss (nice to have) ENTSOE to Acer submission Action completed by ESO (TJ) but update required from ENTSOE Awaiting response but ESO have reached out to EU codes team

28	Open	Questionnaire to be reviewed	14 Dec	LT		Can we simplify the survey more to allow for ease of completion? Ongoing
30	Open	Nisar to check with the SQSS Panel rep in Code Admin on the impact of GC0117 on SQSS	08 Feb 22	NA		RR to pick this up
31	Closed	How would a new LEEMPS plus be caught in the future world particularly in terms of data submissions and obligations	08 Feb 22	TJ/DH		Presented on 18/3 to WG
32	Closed	Update threshold document and the matrix for comments made by workgroup on 08 Feb 22	08 Feb 22	TJ/DH		Shared with WG
33	Open	The ESO needs to properly articulate in the WG report the <u>potential</u> number of customers to be impacted under each potential scenario	08 Feb 22	TJ/DH/ESO reps		RR to pick
34	Open	Gauge the appetite for making a decision in the near term vs the medium and long term in relation to the solutions being discussed. Should we do things now or should we wait based on wider thinking in terms of implementation. What is the overall strategy for GB?	08 Feb 22	Paul Drew (Ofgem)		An ongoing request to consider and update the WG as and when information arises
35	Closed	Assumptions, implications and business case update from NGESO IT to a future workgroup meeting.	22 Feb 2022	NGESO IT		Presented at WG 18/3

36	Open	Strategic direction for this mod is that if it helps resolve issues for industry then it would add additional costs for the ESO in terms of resource then can Ofgem provide any clarity on this?	22 Feb 2022	Paul Drew (Ofgem)		An ongoing request to consider and update the WG as and when information arises
37	Closed	IT to share RDP link	18 Mar 2022	NGESO IT		Shared with WG via chat
38	Closed	ON to share Operational DER Visibility CBA report	18 Mar 2022	ON		Shared with WG on 23/3
39	Closed	Chair to update date of next Workgroup in April to avoid Easter break	18 Mar 2022	RR		Diary invite sent for 27 April
40	Open	Chair to update the WG timeline and gain Panel approval of extension	18 Mar	RR		Issued to Panel, awaiting decision